

Team name:	<u>Study Buddy</u>
Problem <u>2</u> / 2 pt	Standardized tests are important factors and its hard to learn what to do in this context
CI Execution <u>2</u> / 2 pt	CI changed their perspective nice description of participants & setup
CI Results <u>2</u> / 2 pt	All centers on practice tests Breaking down study tasks Goal setting
6 Tasks <u>4</u> / 4 pts	Drawing directly from CI Results Good high-level description
3 Sketches <u>4</u> / 4 pts	Good, visible, nice talking about downside For fetched: per tech-corp about paper-only AR Glasses: best of both
2 Storyboards <u>4</u> / 4 pts	Solo test taking Peer tutor session
Presentation has legible and appropriate slides, fit within 8 mins <u>1</u> / 2 pt	Good clean slide design, mostly legible except 1st storyboard
Total	<u>19</u> pts / 20 pts
Presenter name: <u>Jake</u> <u>4</u> / 4 pts	Good, conversational, good projection
Presenter name: <u>Egan</u> <u>4</u> / 4 pts	Clear, good projection

Team name:	<u>Study Buddies</u>
Problem <u>2</u> / 2 pt	Nice - I liked how you mentioned your previous ideas about what would work
CI Execution <u>2</u> / 2 pt	What did you do? you talked about the people, but what was your process?
CI Results <u>2</u> / 2 pt	Well explained
6 Tasks <u>4</u> / 4 pts	
3 Sketches <u>4</u> / 4 pts	Nice work explaining why you chose or rejected designs
2 Storyboards <u>4</u> / 4 pts	Clear storyboards - nicely explained
Presentation has legible and appropriate slides, fit within 8 mins <u>1</u> / 2 pt	Some sketches a little hard to read
Total	<u>19</u> pts / 20 pts
Presenter name: <u>4</u> / 4 pts <u>ESAW</u>	Good back & forth between presenters
Presenter name: <u>4</u> / 4 pts <u>Jacob</u>	

Feedback for Both